



International Accreditation Forum
Technical Committee Discussion Paper

Name of party submitting issue for discussion (optional):
Kevin Belson for EA Certification Committee

Statement of the issue:

Transfer of persons certification under ISO/IEC 17024

Is transfer of persons certification a possibility and Should IAF define rules for transfer of certification for persons under ISO/IEC 17024?

ISO/IEC 17024 に基づく 要員認証の移転

要員認証の移転は可能か？また、IAF は、ISO/IEC 17024 に基づく 要員認証の移転について規則を定めるべきか？

Discussion:

A situation has arisen in the field of NDT whereby a certified individual wishes to transfer to another Certification Body's scheme for the same standard (in this case under ISO 9712). *It should be noted that in this case, ISO 9712 defines in great detail the technical and evaluation requirements including pre-requisites, evaluation processes, re-certification etc.*

This situation could occur under various circumstances, for example the certified individual moving location.

However, there are currently no rules of guidelines for transferring persons certification.

It is considered that scheme should specify the possibility of, and the rules for, transfers but it may be useful to have defined rules and controls for transfers including such issues as scheme equivalence, evaluation of reasons for transferring, confidentiality, currency of qualification, etc.

認証を受けた個人が、同じ規格（本件では ISO 9712）に基づく別の CB のスキームへの移転を希望する状況が NDT の分野で発生している。なお、本件において、ISO 9712 は、必要条件、評価プロセス、再認証等を含む技術的及び評価要求事項を極めて詳細に定義している。

例えば認証を受けた個人が引っ越すなど、この状況は様々な事情で発生する可能性があるが、現状要員認証の移転に関する指針の規則はない。

スキームは移転の可能性と規則を明記するのが望ましいが、スキームの同等性、移転理由の評価、機密性、資格の通用等の問題を含む、移転について規定した規則と管理を作成するのが有用かもしれない。

Requested action by the IAF TC:

The EACC would appreciate the views of the IAF TC on this issue



Consensus of the IAF TC (also to be documented in the meeting summary):

After discussing the request, the WG did not feel that there was support for the development of any criteria to support effective transfer, given the small number of such transfers that occur in the marketplace.

There is nothing that precludes agreements between CABs to allow for transfers, as long as all the requirements of ISO/IEC 17024 are met. In particular, it states under Clause 7.1.1, the requirements regarding the evidence necessary to support the granting of certification, and it is difficult to see how this evidence can be provided by a person without the CAB providing the information.

ISO/IEC 17024 Clause 9.2.6 requirements would also need to be considered:

Where the certification body takes into account work performed by another body, it shall have appropriate reports data and records to demonstrate that the results are equivalent to, and conform with, the requirements established by the certification scheme.

WGは有効に認証の移転をするための基準類開発への支持が見られなかったと感じている。

ISO/IEC 17024 の要求事項を満たしている限り、CAB 間での移転を認める合意は妨げられない。特に 7.1.1 には認証が授与されたことを示すのに必要なエビデンスに関する要求事項があり、CAB の情報提供なしに要員がこの要求事項を満たす情報を提供するのは難しい。

ISO/IEC 17024 9.2.6 の要求事項も考慮する必要がある。

9.2.6 別の機関によって実施された作業を認証機関が考慮する場合、結果が同等かつ認証スキームで定められた要求事項に適合していることを実証するために、適切な報告書、データ及び記録を持たなければならない。